

# University of Leeds

## Instructions to examiners for research degree examinations

Updated April 2022

### Arrangements in response to the Covid-19 pandemic Information for research degree examiners

The following section outlines the measures that the University has taken to continue to support our PGRs through the thesis examination process in response to the Covid-19 pandemic. **This guidance includes information on the assessment of learning outcomes in light of the Covid-19 pandemic and updated advice on the arrangements for the viva during the 2021/22 session.**

#### Thesis submission for examination

- The University has suspended the requirement for PGRs to submit printed and soft- bound copies of their thesis for examination. To complete thesis submission, PGRs must email a PDF copy of their thesis [rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk) ensuring that the Research Office is copied in to the email [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk)
- The thesis examination team in Doctoral College Operations (DCO) will be distributing the thesis and paperwork to examiners in electronic format, and examiners will receive formal notification of appointment by email. If the electronic format of the thesis and documents will cause difficulties, and printed copies are required, please contact the [thesis examination team](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk) ([rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)) in DCO.

#### Oral examination

- Arrangements remain in place at the current time for the viva to take place by video streaming (normally Microsoft Teams), with all parties completing this process remotely. The Policy and Guide are available on the [website](#).

Where there is a need to explore an on campus viva, this must be considered in accordance with the Leeds Trinity University guidance for on

campus activity, in place at the proposed time. The DoPGR will need to ensure that institutional Health & Safety guidance is followed especially in relation to office usage and inviting visitors onto Campus. A hybrid viva model can be considered, which might involve the PGR or other participant/s being based on campus, but with the viva otherwise taking place via a video streaming with the external examiner and/or other parties. DCO (P&E) would particularly welcome feedback from participants of any 'hybrid' vivas to feed into the review of longer-term video-streamed vivas. This can be sent to [rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk).

- An Independent Chair will only be required by the University under the established (pre Covid-19) position where it will be the internal examiner's first PGR examination or a second viva following resubmission. In all other cases, an Independent Chair can be appointed for an online viva at the request of the examining team.
- Under the video streaming policy, it must be confirmed (by the internal examiner) that pastoral support (normally via the supervisor or other appropriate member of staff) will be available to the PGR immediately after the viva, should this be necessary. If the supervisor will not be attending the viva as an observer, the internal examiner must check that they, or other appropriate member of staff (e.g. Director of PGR Studies) will be contactable if needed.

## Assessment of Learning outcomes and criteria for award

- It is recognised that in some cases the research plans, and the thesis submission, may have to change from what was originally intended. This might include changes to the methodology, experimental design, plans for data collection, or refining the scope or the emphasis of the original research project. However the **quality** of the thesis is expected to be equivalent to that produced at other times. The Learning Outcomes and criteria for award are unchanged.
- Flexibility may be considered, if appropriate, on the **quantity** of material expected in the thesis, whilst ensuring that the quality of the submission is preserved, and that the examiners still have a sufficient body of research to assess that the criteria for award and [learning outcomes](#) have been met. This may not always be easily quantifiable, but Supervisors, in consultation with Directors of PGR Studies and Heads of Graduate Schools, are encouraged to consider how best to interpret this for their disciplines. The [Regulations to Ordinance X, and the Programme of Study entries](#), set down the normal maximum length for each thesis submission, but the University does not specify a minimum length for any of its research degrees. The maximum limits are not intended to be interpreted as a requirement for the length of a thesis, and in certain disciplines this may normally be considerably shorter.
- PGRs are invited to submit a Covid-19 impact statement alongside their thesis (a discussion of this might also be included in the thesis, if appropriate and the Supervisor(s) will be best placed to advise on the

most appropriate location and form for this), which describes any impact of Covid-19 on their research plans and thesis submission and what changes to the research project design/plans had to be made as a consequence, for example:

- i. How the Covid-19 pandemic impacted the research project.
  - ii. What steps were taken to mitigate against the disruption.
  - iii. Any decisions taken to change direction or focus, or re-design the research plans in response to Covid-19.
- The impact statement will be shared with the examiners with the thesis submission. Examiners will be asked to consider this statement, and to be flexible in considering how a PGR may demonstrate they have met the learning outcomes and what constitutes such evidence. Examiners should be sympathetic to any Covid-19 related circumstances that may have impacted the research or necessitated a change of direction or emphasis from that which may originally have been planned, whilst still ensuring that learning outcomes for each award have been met, based on the quality of the thesis submission and the PGR's defence in the oral examination. Examiners are invited to include comments in relation to a Covid-19 impact statement provided by the PGR in the relevant section of the joint report form.
  - A PGR may have used the impact statement to document how their ability to work was affected during the pandemic due to academic or personal circumstances. In some cases adjustments to individual research projects may have been needed to enable PGRs to complete to their original timetable. Any changes needed to the scope or emphasis of the project will be described in the statement and should be considered in accordance with the guidance above. In other cases these mitigating circumstances will have been dealt with by additional support and time (via a suspension or extension of studies) prior to submission of the thesis, to allow more time to complete the original project plans. The University has an established position on mitigating circumstances which is set out in section 13 of this document. Any mitigating circumstances which may have affected the PGR during their period of study should never lead the examiners to award a research where the work is not felt to be of the appropriate standard.
  - In order to be eligible for the award of the degree, all PGRs must complete an oral examination and the thesis submitted for examination must meet the stated criteria for award of the degree and the specified learning outcomes must be met. While the thesis may be shorter or contain fewer case studies than might otherwise be expected as a result of the difficulties encountered, it should still meet the criteria for award.
  - The focus should be on the **quality** of the thesis submission, together with the PGR's defence in the oral examination. In the case of doctoral awards, for example, the examiners will be expected to reach an

academic judgement on whether there is a sufficient quality – and quantity – of original research, with the appropriate rigour of analysis and independent critical ability and matter suitable for publication to have met the learning outcomes for award. In considering ‘matter suitable for publication’ at doctoral level, the expectation is that the thesis will contain original work which is of publishable quality in appropriate, peer-reviewed journals (or publication in other forms appropriate for the field of research). When commenting on the extent to which the thesis contains matter suitable for publication, Examiners are invited to comment on work which has already been published and/or may comment on parts of the thesis which could form the basis of an appropriate publication following some reworking.

- Recommendations for award ultimately remain an academic judgement for the appointed examiners. All recommendations for award are considered at the next meeting of the Graduate Board’s Examinations Group; referred on by LTU RKEO. The Graduate Board has delegated authority to the Examinations Group to ratify examination results and award research degrees, subject to it being satisfied that the criteria for the award of the degree have been met.
- The normal timescales for completion of corrections are 4 weeks (for editorial and presentational corrections) or 12 weeks (for minor deficiencies). Sympathetic consideration will be given to requests for more time to complete corrections due to Covid-19. Requests will be managed via the usual extension request process.

## Final thesis submission (after successful viva and completion of any corrections)

- The University has permanently withdrawn the requirement for PGRs to submit a hard- bound copy of the corrected thesis for the award of their degree. PGRs only need to upload a PDF copy of their thesis to [White Rose Etheses Online](#). This can be carried out remotely.
- If the current situation means that a PGR is not going to be able to meet the 4/12 week deadline for completing any minor corrections to their thesis, they can apply for an extension and the University will consider all requests sympathetically. By this we expect to approve all requests for more time to complete corrections to the thesis. PGRs can apply for an extension using the normal suspension/extension process. The DoPGR can provide further advice and support.

## Further advice

- Please contact the RKEO if you require any further advice [research@leedstinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstinity.ac.uk)

## Contents

Arrangements in response to the Covid-19 pandemic Information for research degree examiners .....	1
Thesis submission for examination .....	1
Oral examination .....	1
Assessment of Learning outcomes and criteria for award .....	2
Final thesis submission (after successful viva and completion of any corrections).....	4
Further advice.....	4
1.0 Before the oral examination.....	7
Arrangements and timescales for the oral examination .....	7
Difficulties/delays to the viva (information of relevance to the internal examiner).....	8
Preliminary Report Form .....	9
Policy on plagiarism .....	10
2.0 The Oral Examination .....	10
Additional tests.....	11
The role of the supervisor.....	11
The role of the independent chair (if appointed) .....	11
Problems on the day.....	12
3.0 Regulations for award and possible recommendations .....	12
Regulations for award .....	12
Learning Outcomes.....	13
Types of Recommendation.....	13
Award (without corrections) .....	14
Award subject to editorial and presentational corrections.....	14
Award subject to the correction of minor deficiencies .....	14
Referral.....	15
Distinction between and award with minor deficiencies and referral.....	17
PhD submission referred for resubmission for MPhil.....	18
Award of MPhil on a PhD submission .....	18
Fail .....	19
4.0 Immediately after the oral examination .....	19
Notifying the PGR of the examiners' recommendation and any corrections.....	19
Post-examination support for PGRs (including the appeal process) .....	20
Failure to reach an agreement - separate reports after the oral examination .....	20
External Examiner Fee Claim and Expenses .....	21
5.0 Completing the final, joint Examiners' Report .....	21
Signatures on the front sheet .....	21
Completing the joint report template .....	21
Recognising Research Excellence in Doctoral Research Submissions .....	22
General feedback on the examination process .....	22
Graduate Board's Examinations Group .....	22
Release of the Examiners' Report to the PGR .....	23
6.0 Report and Notes for Guidance in the event of a referral .....	23
Timescale for the report and notes for guidance .....	23
Examiners report and reasons for referral.....	23

Format and content of the Notes for Guidance .....	24
Release of the Notes for Guidance to the PGR.....	25
Role of the examiners during the referral period.....	25
7.0 After successful examination (4\12 week correction).....	26
Role of the internal examiner during the correction period.....	26
Checking editorial and presentational corrections or minor deficiencies.....	26
Confirming approval of editorial & presentational corrections or minor deficiencies.....	27
Submission of the Final thesis.....	27
Extensions to the normal 4/12 week correction period.....	27
Failure to complete corrections to the satisfaction of the internal examiner.....	27
8.0 Examination of a resubmission following referral.....	28
Resubmission following Referral .....	28
Examiners' individual report for a resubmission .....	29
Timescale for the examination of a resubmitted thesis .....	29
Examination of a resubmitted thesis.....	29
Recommendations following resubmission.....	29
Second oral examination following resubmission .....	30
If a second oral examination is required .....	30
If a second oral examination is not required .....	31
Completing the final, joint examiners' report for a resubmission.....	31
9.0 Good Practice Guidelines for the conduct of the viva.....	33
Examiners may find the following good practice helpful in conducting the examination :.....	33
10.0 Thesis length.....	36
11.0 Guidance on publications .....	37
Distinction between the publication requirements at Doctoral and Masters level.....	37
Use of jointly or solely authored publications in the thesis.....	38
12.0 Student Support and Wellbeing .....	38
Supporting Disabled Postgraduate Researchers – advice to examiners .....	39
Accessibility and Support for Examiners.....	39
13.0 Mitigating Circumstances for PGRs .....	40
14.0 Ethical review of the Research .....	40

## 1.0 Before the oral examination

- 1.1 The thesis must be written in English. The format and presentation requirements for theses are published in the separate [Guide to the thesis examination process](#).
- 1.2 Submission of the thesis for examination must be made to Doctoral College Operations (DCO) online through [White Rose Etheses Online](#). **Theses must not be sent directly to the examiners.**
- 1.3 Postgraduate Researchers (PGRs) are solely responsible for the decision to submit their work for examination and for the thesis which is submitted. Substitute versions of theses, additional pages or supplementary material cannot be accepted after submission of the thesis and must not be sent directly to the examiners. This applies irrespective of whether the thesis was submitted on or before the maximum time limit.
- 1.4 The thesis is submitted to the examiners on the basis that they will treat the contents, and any issues relating to the candidature, as strictly confidential in the periods before, during and following the examination, until such stage as the work may be published in accordance with normal academic custom. The School concerned must advise the examiners of any specific confidentiality undertakings that are required as a result of commercial agreements. If for this or any other reason you should find your appointment would create some conflict of interest please contact Director of Postgraduate Research immediately.
- 1.5 Examiners are specifically asked to bear in mind that the thesis submitted for examination represents research that may reasonably be expected after completion of the standard period of study.

## Arrangements and timescales for the oral examination

- 1.6 The internal examiner is responsible for making the arrangements for the oral examination and must contact the external examiner, the PGR and the Independent Chair (if appointed) to arrange a date, time and venue (or online platform) for the examination. If the internal examiner is on a period of leave (for example maternity/paternity leave) and assistance is required with these arrangements please contact the Research Office [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk). The Supervisor(s) should also be kept informed, as the PGR may request that one supervisor attend the oral examination as an observer (please see section 2). The internal examiner is asked to confirm that pastoral support (normally via the supervisor or other appropriate member of staff) will be available to the PGR immediately after the viva, should this be necessary. If the supervisor will not be attending the viva as an observer, please check that they, or other appropriate member of staff (e.g. Director of PGR Studies) will be contactable if needed.
- 1.7 The examination is normally held within office hours, as this is when staff are available to provide advice and support. However, in response to the Covid-19 pandemic, arrangements have been put in place for the viva to be conducted by video-streaming with all parties attending remotely. Please

refer to the guidance at the start of this document for further advice. For in person vivas, please ensure that the venue is suitable for an examination. For a video streamed viva, due consideration should instead be given to the environment in which the parties are located, that this is fit for the purposes of an oral examination and that it is in all other ways comparable to those used for face-to-face examination. Appropriate consideration must be given to time zones of all parties involved.

- 1.8 Every effort should be made for the viva to take place within 6 weeks of receiving the thesis but examiners are asked to accept a maximum time scale of 3 months in which to examine the thesis. Delays in arranging the oral examination can cause significant problems for PGRs. The internal examiner is therefore asked to begin making arrangements for the examination immediately upon receiving the thesis to ensure these timescales can be met. Once a date has been agreed the internal examiner, the internal examiner is asked to inform the Research Office via [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk) who will make the necessary arrangements.
- 1.9 Delays to the viva can be particularly problematic for PGRs in the UK under sponsored visa arrangements. The University has to adhere to strict rules regarding how long it can issue a Confirmation of Acceptance for Study for to PGRs who have submitted for examination. If the examination is scheduled outside of 3 months from submission of the thesis, the University may not be able to continue to sponsor the PGR to remain in the UK whilst they are awaiting their viva.

## Difficulties/delays to the viva (information of relevance to the internal examiner)

- 1.10 If it is not possible to meet the timescale outlined above, for example where there are other commitments of the examiners, the internal examiner must inform the UoL DCO via the Research office [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk) and **must** also keep the PGR, supervisor and DoPGR informed on the progress being made to arrange the examination. Where all parties are in agreement (including the PGR), a short delay can be considered by the University.
- 1.11 The examiners are expected to take account of known religious observances and consult with the PGR, supervisors and central records on Learning Support Plan arrangements for examinations. The internal examiner should then ensure these are in place within the agreed timeframes for the viva to take place. Examiners are also asked, as far as is possible and reasonable, to accommodate the PGR's prior commitments (e.g. work) and to give sufficient notice of the date to allow the PGR to make appropriate leave arrangements. However, should the examiners experience unreasonable difficulties with the PGR committing to a date the internal examiner is asked to contact the Director of PGR Studies.
- 1.12 The University requires the PGR to be in attendance in person for the oral examination. However, in response to the Covid-19 pandemic, arrangements have been put in place for the viva to be conducted by video-streaming with all parties attending remotely. Please refer to the guidance at the start

of this document for further advice. It is expected that the PGR will present for the oral examination which will normally be scheduled within 3 months of dispatch of the thesis to the examiners. PGRs must make all reasonable efforts to attend for examination in this period, for example making appropriate leave arrangements if in employment and visa/travel arrangements if travelling from elsewhere. Should exceptional circumstances arise which might prevent them from attending for the oral examination in this period they are asked to contact their Director of PGR Studies at the earliest opportunity.

- 1.13 No award of a research degree can be considered unless the oral examination is completed. If circumstances arise which prevent a PGR from attending for their oral examination, they must provide a valid reason supported by documentary evidence (for example a medical note in the case of illness). Cases will be considered. If a PGR is able to provide a substantiated valid reason for their unavailability/absence permission *may* be given to delay, postpone or reschedule an oral examination. However, if a PGR is unable to provide a substantiated valid reason, this may result in them being withdrawn from the University with no further opportunity to complete the oral examination.
- 1.14 No PGR will normally be granted a period of postponement to the oral examination of more than 12 months from the date the thesis is sent out to the examiners. Bearing in mind that examiners may become unavailable for various reasons, and a once original topic may not remain so indefinitely, it is normally extremely unlikely that a request for a postponement to the examination exceeding these periods will be granted. However we recognise that where delays may be necessary due to the Covid-19 pandemic, these are extraordinary circumstances and each case will be considered individually. Please contact the DoPGR in these circumstances.

## Preliminary Report Form

- 1.15 Each examiner should read the thesis carefully and independently of the other examiner(s), bearing in mind the criteria for the award of the degree. Before the oral examination, each examiner must prepare a preliminary report and bring this to the examination. This report must contain **brief**, preliminary thoughts but **must not** contain any recommendation relating to the award (or not) of the degree. Each examiner must also complete an [independent preliminary report](#) form in the case of a resubmission following referral (please see Section 8).
- 1.16 Having read the thesis the examiners are obliged to complete a preliminary report form and examine the thesis even if their view is that it does not meet the requirements for the degree as the PGR must be given the opportunity to defend their work at an oral examination.
- 1.17 Each examiner must complete their preliminary report independently of the other examiner(s) before exchange, or discussing the thesis with the other examiner(s). On the day of the oral examination the examiners should arrange to meet together before seeing the PGR in order to decide upon areas for discussion and any issues on which clarification should be sought. The preliminary reports should be discussed by the examiners and will assist

them in deciding upon the structure of the examination and in identifying specific topics for discussion. This discussion will enable the examiners to plan the structure of the oral examination and ensure that all relevant issues are addressed appropriately

- 1.18 The examiners must not discuss their provisional findings with the PGR at any point before the oral examination or give an indication of the likely recommendation until the oral examination has been completed.

## Policy on plagiarism

- 1.9 The University has [procedures for the investigation of allegations of plagiarism in a thesis submission](#). If the examiners suspect plagiarism within a thesis submission the matter must be immediately reported via the DoPGR to the Progression and Examination Manager in DCO ([rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)), including full details of the allegation, a copy of the thesis marked-up together with other evidence (marked-up copies of sources). The oral examination will be postponed pending the outcome of the plagiarism investigation.

## 2.0 The Oral Examination

- 2.1 In addition to presenting a thesis, PGRs in all subjects are required to present themselves for an oral examination on matters relevant to their thesis. On the first occasion that a thesis is submitted the examiners must, in all cases, conduct an oral examination at which PGRs are required to present themselves and answer questions posed by the examiners (for arrangements on resubmission please see Section 8).
- 2.2 The role of the oral examination is to ensure that the work reaches the University standards for the degree; that the work has been written by the PGR; and that the work is understood by the PGR.
- 2.3 The University does not have specific regulations regarding the length of the oral examination or what should be discussed except that the thesis should be discussed with the PGR (good practice guidance on the conduct of the oral examination is given in section 9). The oral examination also gives the PGR the opportunity to answer questions in areas where the examiners are not satisfied. Where the evidence in the thesis is not compelling, the examiners should use the oral examination to encourage the PGR to provide convincing evidence that the stated criteria can be met and reflect on this in their report. Some examiners will also wish to satisfy themselves of the PGR's general level of understanding in the subject area.
- 2.4 The examiners must not discuss their provisional findings with the PGR at any point before the oral examination or give an indication of the likely recommendation until the oral examination has been completed.
- 2.5 The oral examination must be conducted in English.
- 2.6 Those present at the oral examination will be the PGR, the external examiner(s), the internal examiner(s), the independent chair (if appointed, see below) and the supervisor as an observer if it is decided that they should be present (see below).

- 2.7 The oral examination should run continuously and be completed within a day (but please refer to the good practice guidance on the conduct of the oral examination in section 9 regarding short comfort breaks).
- 2.8 The process of notifying the PGR and supervisor of the outcome of the examination should normally take place after the viva but, in any event, must take place within 24 hours of the viva. Please see Section 4 for further advice.
- 2.9 Recording of the viva by any parties is not permitted.

## Additional tests

- 2.10 The examiners may require the PGR to pass any tests which they prescribe. This could include a short presentation to the examiners at the start of the viva. Please give the PGR at least 2 weeks' notice if this is required. One purpose of these tests might be to establish that the thesis is the work of the PGR.

## The role of the supervisor

- 2.11 PGRs may invite one of their supervisors to be in attendance at their viva as an observer. A supervisor may only attend with the prior permission of the PGR. If attending as an observer, the supervisor must remain silent during the examination. The Supervisor may not participate in the viva and takes no part in the academic judgement and the decision making process. The Supervisor must leave after the oral examination (with the PGR) so the examiners can discuss their recommendation.
- 2.12 Before the oral examination the internal examiner is asked to establish whether a supervisor will be present and that this is in accordance with the wishes of the PGR. Incases where a PGR has more than one supervisor, only one supervisor is permitted to attend the oral examination. The internal examiner is responsible for ensuring that the supervisor remains silent and that their presence at the oral examination is unobtrusive. The examiners may ask the supervisor to leave if they feel that their presence may jeopardise the smooth running of the oral examination.
- 2.13 If not present as an observer the supervisor (or appropriate individual e.g. Director of PGR Studies or Advisor) is required to be available for contact if necessary.

## The role of the independent chair (if appointed)

- 2.14 In some circumstances an independent chair may be appointed for an examination. The role of the independent chair is to ensure that the examination is conducted fairly and professionally, and in accordance with University regulations. The independent chair is not expected to be an expert in the subject area, although they should be from a cognate discipline. As such the independent chair is not expected to read the thesis or play a role in academic examination of the PGR or question the PGR on the work being examined at the oral examination.

- 2.15 The Internal Examiner is required to contact the Independent Chair when making arrangements for the date of the oral examination. The independent chair is required to attend the meeting with the examiners prior to the oral examination and be present for the duration of the oral examination and the post-viva discussions. After the oral examination the Independent Chair is required to sign the examiners' report front sheet and complete a brief report. A pro forma for this purpose is provided and should be submitted alongside the examiners' final report form. Further details are given in the [eligibility criteria for the appointment of examiners](#).

### **Arrangements in response to the Covid-19 pandemic**

An Independent Chair can be appointed for an online viva at the request of the examining team. If the examiners wish to request the appointment of an Independent Chair, please contact the DoPGR who will liaise with the UoL thesis examination team in DCO

Where a Chair is only appointed because of the video-streamed format of the viva, the Chair must be present for the duration of the viva but it may not be necessary for them to be present for the pre or post viva discussions. The Independent Chair may choose to attend, or the team may request that the Independent Chair is present at either or both. If not attending the full pre-viva meeting, it is good practice for the Chair to speak with the examiners briefly before the viva for example to establish what role the Independent Chair will take during the viva.

### **Problems on the day**

- 2.16 Where unforeseen circumstances arise on the day of the oral examination, for example an examiner is unable to attend for any reason (e.g. illness etc) immediate consideration should be given to postponing and rescheduling the oral examination. The internal examiner is responsible for ensuring all parties are informed of any postponement (including the PGR, Supervisor(s), DoPGR and the Research office who will liaise with the Graduate School and Doctoral College Operations).
- 2.17 If circumstances arise which prevent a PGR from attending for their oral examination the internal examiner is asked to notify the Doctoral College Operations, through the LTU RKEO immediately.

## **3.0 Regulations for award and possible recommendations**

### **Regulations for award**

- 3.1 The [Ordinances, Regulations and Programmes of Study for Research Degrees](#) detail the formal regulations and requirements for all of the research degrees. A separate information booklet is provided to examiners detailing the criteria for award of the particular research degree under examination. [Ordinance X](#)

## Learning Outcomes

- 3.2 The University has approved learning outcomes for each research degree programme. These are listed in the separate booklet detailing the criteria for award of the particular research degree under examination.
- 3.3 Achievement is assessed by the examination of the thesis and performance under oral examination. However, examiners will not be expected to monitor/assess all the Learning Outcomes at the time of the viva. The main emphasis of the viva will be upon the research achievement and it may be difficult at that stage to assess expertise in '*generic and subject/professional skills*'.
- 3.4 Instead, an ongoing process of monitoring and evaluation should be recorded by Supervisor(s). Within one month of the commencement of study the University requires a training needs analysis to be conducted, and a training plan to be agreed between the PGR and the Supervisor(s). The PGR's progress against the training plan is then reviewed regularly.
- 3.5 Examiners may ask that the LTU RKEO or supervisor(s) make available these records at the viva to enable them to audit the arrangements that have been made. This will typically include the training plan, training record and any relevant extracts from the progress reports where progress with the agreed training plan is reviewed.
- 3.6 Examiners are not required to comment on achievement against the learning outcomes as part of their report form, although comments are invited.

## Types of Recommendation

- 3.7 Examiners are asked to submit **one** of the following recommendations based on the assessment of the written thesis and the PGR's performance in the viva:
  - a) that the degree be awarded (without corrections)
  - b) that the degree be awarded subject to editorial and presentational corrections
  - c) that the degree be awarded subject to the correction of minor deficiencies
  - d) that the thesis be referred for resubmission
  - e) that no research degree be awarded
- 3.8 In the case of PhD submissions the following recommendations are also available:
  - a) that the degree of MPhil be awarded (without corrections)
  - b) that the degree of MPhil be awarded subject to editorial & presentational corrections
  - c) that the degree of MPhil be awarded subject to the correction of minor deficiencies
  - d) that the thesis be referred for resubmission for the degree of MPhil

- 3.9 In all other cases, no PGR may be recommended for the award of a degree other than for which they have applied.
- 3.10 For Masters by Research or MPhil submissions, where the work is of exceptional merit, the examiners may recommend the award of the degree with distinction. Please see theseparate information booklet for the research degree under examination.

## Award (without corrections)

- 3.11 If the PGR has met the required learning outcomes for the programme, and the thesis satisfies the requirements for the award of the degree and does not require any minor alterations the Examiners may recommend the award of the degree without corrections.

## Award subject to editorial and presentational corrections

- 3.12 If the PGR has met the required learning outcomes for the programme, and the thesis satisfies the requirements for the award of the degree but is found to contain minor editorial errors, the examiners may recommend the award of the degree subject to editorial and presentational corrections.
- 3.13 Editorial and presentational corrections must be specifically confined to the correction oftrivial errors, typographical errors, and simple mistakes of fact or the insertion of headings or other 'signpost' material for the sake of clarity.
- 3.14 A PGR is normally required to correct editorial and presentational corrections within 4 weeks of the date of the oral examination. Please ensure the PGR receives the details of any editorial/presentational corrections and is clear about how long they have to complete them. The PGR does not receive this information formally from the RKEO or DCO and **it is the responsibility of the internal examiner** to ensure that the PGR and supervisor arefully informed. Bearing in mind the timescale runs from the date of the oral examination please ensure this information is sent promptly.
- 3.15 The internal examiner must confirm that the corrections have been completed in writing via the RKEO [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk), the RKEO will liaise with the UoL DCO (email [rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)) (see also section 7). The name of the PGR cannot appear on a pass list until such confirmation has been received.

## Award subject to the correction of minor deficiencies

- 3.16 If, after the viva, the PGR has met the required learning outcomes for the programme, and the thesis satisfies the requirements for the award of the degree but is found to contain deficiencies which are genuinely minor in nature, the examiners may recommend the award of the degree subject to correction of minor deficiencies.
- 3.17 It is expected that minor deficiencies will be confined to changes which are genuinely minor in nature (e.g. rewriting of sections, correcting calculations, clarifying or expandingarguments and the correction of minor typographical errors) and should not be used when substantial additional work or research is required, when whole chapters have to be substantially rewritten or if the

methodology requires substantial revision. “Referral” for resubmission should be considered in those circumstances (on a first submission only. A second referral is not possible. Recommendations available on a resubmitted thesis are outlined in section 8). A **summary** of the nature of the minor deficiencies is required in the examiners’ report.

- 3.18 A PGR is normally required to correct minor deficiencies within 12 weeks of the date of the oral examination, however, an extension to this period can be arranged by the DoPGR if more time is required in individual cases. Further advice can be found in section 7.7 and 7.8 of these Instructions.
- 3.19 The examiners’ recommendation must be reached solely on academic grounds and whether or not the thesis satisfies the criteria for award, the required learning outcomes have been met and the changes required to the thesis are minor in nature. It should not be determined by the candidate’s personal circumstances and/or whether the examiners feel the PGR will be able to complete the required corrections within a 12- week period. Even if the examiners are aware that a PGR’s personal circumstances are such that correction of the thesis within the normal 12 week period is unlikely, a decision to award should still be made if the view of the examiners is that the thesis satisfies the requirements for the award of the degree, subject to the correction of minor deficiencies. If circumstances exist/arise which may prevent the PGR from completing the corrections within a 12-week period a case for an extension to the correction period should be made by the Internal Examiner via the DoPGR (see Section 7.7 & 7.8).
- 3.20 After the oral examination the examiners must agree the minor deficiencies which require correction. The PGR must be provided with clear and comprehensive guidance detailing the minor deficiencies which require correction. It is essential that clear, comprehensive and sufficient detail is given such that, if complied with by PGR, it will lead to confirmation of the corrections and the award of the degree.

**The internal examiner is responsible for ensuring that the PGR is provided with guidance on the minor deficiencies requiring correction in writing, normally within one working day of the oral examination.**

- 3.21 The internal examiner must confirm that the corrections have been completed, after consultation with the external examiner if requested, in writing to the Research Office via [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk) who will liaise with the DCO (email [rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)). See section 7 for further advice. The name of the PGR cannot appear on a pass list until such confirmation has been received.

## Referral

- 3.22 The decision to “refer” a thesis is normally interpreted as signifying that, although the thesis is potentially of a standard to merit the award of the degree concerned it does not, at this stage, satisfy the requirements for award and certain sections and/or aspects of the thesis are in need of alteration and improvement and a re-examination of the work will be required. It is expected

that the PGR will be able to complete the alterations within a reasonable time. If the examiners recommend referral for resubmission, the PGR will be required to revise the thesis, which may entail further research or any other activity required by the examiners, and then resubmit this to the University for re-examination.

- 3.23 An unsatisfactory thesis should be referred when, despite certain defects in the thesis itself and/or the PGR's performance in the oral examination (and such other tests as may have been prescribed) there is, in the case of doctoral degrees, evidence of the potential of a successful submission from the originality, independence, scope and significance of the PGR's research. For MPhil and Mastership by Research PGRs careful consideration should similarly be given to the PGR's potential and to the criteria for the award of that degree. If the thesis does not possess this potential, the examiners should send forward a recommendation that the degree be not awarded (see below).
- 3.24 A thesis may also be referred in cases where the PGR does not completely satisfy the examiners through their performance at the oral examination (and in such other tests the examiners may have prescribed) that the award of the degree is justified at this stage.
- 3.25 Even if the examiners are aware that the PGR's personal circumstances are such that revision of the thesis is unlikely, a decision to refer the thesis should be forwarded if it is believed that the thesis and the PGR are potentially of the necessary standard.
- 3.26 The examiners' report must give a clear summary of the reasons for referral. It should be clear that a thesis has been referred on the basis that the submitted thesis fell short of what is required for the award of the degree and that the nature and seriousness of the changes required fall outside of what could be reasonably described under the heading of minor deficiencies. The early section of the report (questions 2-6) should be consistent with the recommendation not to award the degree at this stage, and should provide a clear indication of which of the criteria for award have not yet been fully met. Please see section 6 for further advice.
- 3.27 In the event of a referral, the examiners must prepare clear and comprehensive notes for guidance, which must be submitted at the same time as the report of the examination for consideration by the Graduate Board's Examinations Group. The examiners must not give these directly to the PGR as they must first be reviewed by the Examinations Group. Please see section 6 of this document for further advice on preparing the notes for guidance.
- 3.28 If the examiners' recommendation is that the thesis be referred, it is the practice for the original examining team to act again, except in exceptional circumstances.
- 3.29 PGRs are normally required to resubmit within the following period

from the date the notes for guidance are issued but **this is the maximum time limit and PGRs may resubmit at any point in this period**. A case for an extension to this period can be submitted by the DoPGR to the Examinations Group if more time is required in individual cases:

Doctoral submissions	within 18 months
MPhil submission	within 15 months
PhD submission referred for resubmission for MPhil	within 12 months
Mastership by Research submission	within 9 months

## Distinction between and award with minor deficiencies and referral

- 3.30 For the benefit of examiners the following guidance is given on the distinction between a 'pass subject to the correction of minor deficiencies and a referral for resubmission
- 3.31 A 'pass subject to the correction of minor deficiencies', implies that the work, taken as a whole, is of the required standard for the degree for which it has been submitted, although it requires some further (minor) corrections before the award can be confirmed. A referral, by contrast, implies that the work, taken as a whole, does not currently meet the required standard for the relevant award, although it could do so with substantial further work.
- 3.32 It is expected that minor deficiencies will be confined to changes which are genuinely minor in nature (e.g. rewriting of sections, correcting calculations, clarifying or expanding arguments and the correction of minor typographical errors) and should not be used when substantial additional work or research is required, when whole chapters have to be substantially rewritten or if the methodology requires substantial revision. Referral for resubmission should be considered in those circumstances.
- 3.33 Referral should be used when a thesis does not fully satisfy the requirements for the award of the degree for which it has been submitted, but does demonstrate the potential to satisfy those requirements. It is recognised that the scale of the work needed to transform a referred thesis into one which fully satisfies the requirements for the award will vary. The maximum time period allowed for resubmission following referral is intended to represent the maximum amount of time allowed, and it is recognised that many PGRs will be able to resubmit more quickly than this. There is no minimum referral period and the PGRs may resubmit at any point in the referral period once the work has been completed.
- 3.34 Examiners are also asked to bear in mind that the recommendation to award must be reached solely on academic grounds and should not be determined by the candidate's personal circumstances and/or whether the examiners feel the PGR will be able to complete any required work within a 12-week period. A decision to award should be made if the view of the examiners is that the thesis satisfies the requirements for the award of the degree, and the corrections required are genuinely minor in nature. The Graduate Board's

Examinations Group will look sympathetically on requests for an extension to the normal 12-week period for correction of minor deficiencies. A case for an [extension to the correction period](#) can be made by the DoPGR to the UoL.

## PhD submission referred for resubmission for MPhil

- 3.35 In the event of a PhD thesis referred for resubmission for MPhil, in addition to the guidance in the section above, the examiners' report must also explain:
- The reasons for referral for MPhil with specific reference to the learning outcomes/criteria for MPhil award. This must explain why the submitted thesis does not, at this stage, satisfy the requirements for award and why the examiners believe the thesis is potentially of a standard to merit MPhil award (following resubmission).
  - Why the examiners do not believe there is the potential to satisfy the requirements for PhD award by a referral for PhD resubmission. A thorough and detailed account must be provided explaining why the examiners believe the criteria for PhD award could not be met by referral for resubmission for PhD, with specific reference to the learning outcomes/criteria for the award for the degree of PhD

## Award of MPhil on a PhD submission

- 3.36 Examiners are permitted to recommend the award of the degree of MPhil to PGRs who, following an oral examination, fail to achieve the standard for the award of a PhD but who nevertheless satisfy the criteria for the award of the degree of MPhil. In these circumstances the degree of MPhil may not be awarded with distinction. An oral examination must take place in all cases.
- 3.37 It should be noted, however, that in the case of a first submission the examiners should always recommend referral for the degree of PhD if they believe that the submission and the PGR are potentially of PhD standard. (A PGR whose submission has been referred for resubmission for PhD may, with the approval of the DoPGR and the Graduate Board, elect to resubmit the thesis in a suitably revised format for examination for the degree of MPhil, under the normal examination requirements for that degree.
- 3.38 The examiners' report must clearly outline the reasons for recommending the award of MPhil, giving positive examples of how the thesis meets the criteria for the award of MPhil and how the learning outcomes for MPhil award have been met. The report must also clearly outline why the criteria for PhD award had not been met and, in the case of a first submission, why these could not be met by referral for resubmission for PhD.
- 3.39 The joint examiners' report will be sent to the PGR by the LTU Research Office after approval by the UoL Examinations Group and they will be advised that the preliminary reports are available on request.

## Fail

- 3.40 The decision to 'fail' a submission should be reached solely on academic grounds as it implies that the thesis is itself irredeemable or that the PGR does not possess the necessary academic abilities. It should accordingly not take any account of personal circumstances which may have a bearing on the PGR's opportunity to revise the submission. An oral examination must take place in all cases.
- 3.41 In the event of a recommendation that a degree be not awarded examiners are asked to ensure that a **thorough and detailed** account of the reasons for failure is provided. In the case of a recommendation for failure on a first submission the examiners' report must also include an explicit statement explaining why referral for resubmission was not an option.
- 3.42 The joint examiners' report will be sent to the PGR by the LTU Research Office after approval by the UoL Examinations Group and they will be advised that the preliminary reports are available on request.

## 4.0 Immediately after the oral examination

### Notifying the PGR of the examiners' recommendation and any corrections

- 4.1 Examiners are advised to inform the PGR and the supervisor(s) of the recommendation that they are making without waiting for official confirmation of the result by the Examinations Group, provided that it is made clear that it is subject to the approval of the Group. The process of notifying the PGR and supervisor of the outcome should normally take place after the examination but, in any event, must take place within 24 hours of the oral examination.
- 4.2 **It is the responsibility of the internal examiner to ensure that the PGR is informed of any editorial & presentational corrections or minor deficiencies.** The PGR will not receive this information from the Research office or the DCO. Bearing in mind the timescale runs from the date of the oral examination, please ensure this information is provided promptly. In the case of minor deficiencies, the internal examiner is responsible for ensuring that the PGR is provided with guidance on the minor deficiencies requiring correction in writing, normally within one working day of the oral examination.
- 4.3 In the event of a referral, in addition to the final report the examiners must also prepare notes for guidance. The notes for guidance must not be issued by the examiner(s), DoPGR, Research Office or the School to the PGR. The notes for guidance will be issued by DCO after the Graduate Board's Examinations Group has scrutinised them together with the examiners' report. Schools/Examiners are not permitted to issue informal notes for guidance to the PGR. If any oral advice is given before the Group has approved the official guidance, it must be stressed to the PGR that the advice given is informal. Please see Section 6 for further advice.

## Post-examination support for PGRs (including the appeal process)

### Arrangements in response to the Covid-19 pandemic

Under the video streaming policy, it must be confirmed by the internal examiner that pastoral support (normally via the supervisor or other appropriate member of staff, who might be available via video streaming or telephone) will be available to the PGR immediately after the viva, should this be necessary.

- 4.4 In the event that a PGR becomes extremely distressed on receiving an adverse academic decision the examiners are advised to contact the supervisor to provide support. If for any reason the supervisor is not available (or appropriate) the Director of PGR Studies should be contacted.
- 4.5 In the event that the examiners become concerned about a PGR's wellbeing or behaviour on receiving an adverse academic decision they should inform the DoPGR who will help refer the PGR to more specialist support services (such as the [Student Counselling and Wellbeing Service](#)). Staff concerned about a PGR can ask for advice by contacting Counselling and Wellbeing staff during office hours.
- 4.6 In some circumstances PGRs may appeal against an adverse academic decision (referral for resubmission, award of MPhil on a PhD submission or fail) using the [Appeals Procedure](#). The appeal must reach the University within 20 working days of the PGR receiving formal confirmation of the decision from DCO, after approval of the examiners' report by the Examinations Group. PGRs considering submitting an appeal should be strongly encouraged to seek independent advice and support from the [Leeds Trinity Student Union](#) (email: [student.union@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:student.union@leedstrinity.ac.uk)). There are also a number of other support services available to PGRs (such as [Student Counselling and Wellbeing Service](#))

## Failure to reach an agreement - separate reports after the oral examination

- 4.7 Examiners are reminded that although the process of notifying the PGR and supervisor of the outcome will normally take place after the oral examination it must take place within 24 hours of the examination. This period can be used by the examiners to discuss the recommendation and to endeavour to reach an agreement.
- 4.8 In the exceptional circumstances of a failure to reach agreement on the recommendation (within the 24 hour period available) the internal examiner is asked to contact DoPGR immediately. The [procedure to be followed](#) is published separately (see section 15). The internal examiner will be asked to advise the PGR in writing that the examiners are unable to reach an agreement on the recommendation and that they will be submitting separate final report forms to the University. The examiners must then submit separate, independent final reports along with their preliminary reports, to DCO within 5 working days of the viva.

## External Examiner Fee Claim and Expenses

- 4.9 The External Examiner's fee is paid by Leeds Trinity University. A fee form and the claim process will be sent to the external examiner by the LTU Research Office. Any queries should be directed to [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk)

## 5.0 Completing the final, joint Examiners' Report Signatures on the front sheet

### Arrangements in response to the Covid-19 pandemic

Scanned /electronic signatures are accepted for video streamed vivas. Where a scanned or electronic signature aren't available, email confirmation (from an Institutional email address) can be accepted.

- 5.1 The internal examiner is responsible for ensuring that the [joint examiners' report](#) is fully completed and signed. This should be done before the external leaves the post-viva meeting. The examiners must sign the front page of the report form. Normally, original, ink signatures are needed but scanned /electronic signatures are accepted for video streamed vivas. Where a scanned or electronic signature aren't available, email confirmation (from an Institutional email address) can be accepted.
- 5.2 The joint report must be countersigned by the Director of PGR Studies (or approved nominee). The internal examiner should email the report to the LTU Research Office via [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk). The Research Office will then return the completed and signed report to the UoL [rp\\_examinations@adm.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.ac.uk) within **10 working days** of the examination, together with the preliminary reports, and the report from the Independent Chair (if appointed). Where the recommendation is referral, notes for guidance must also be submitted with the report (see section 6).

## Completing the joint report template

- 5.3 All sections of the report must be typed using the template provided. Please ensure that you answer all questions clearly and fully and include in your responses specific examples from the thesis. The report must stand alone from the thesis, and any publications which may have arisen from it, and it must be clearly evident from reading the report itself that the thesis contained, for example in the case of a doctoral award, evidence of originality, independent critical ability and matter suitable for publication.
- [Link to the Examiners report form template](#)
- 5.4 The examiners' report must be consistent with the recommendation reached on the thesis. In the case of an adverse decision, it must be clear in the early sections of the report (questions 2-6) why the submitted thesis fell short of that required for the award of the degree and which of the criteria for award had not been fully met. As this is a joint report, the answers must indicate it is the view of all the examiners (the use of "I" must be avoided).

## Recognising Research Excellence in Doctoral Research Submissions

- 5.5 The University has arrangements by which research excellence in doctoral research degree submissions can be recognised. Research excellence would be expected to principally reflect outstanding achievement in the thesis and oral examination at a level significantly above what would be expected for the award of a doctoral degree where the PGR has fulfilled all requirements for award either without corrections or with either editorial & presentational corrections or minor deficiencies.
- 5.6 Evidence might be identified from the thesis as well as from associated research outputs. This might include, but is not limited to: major theoretical or methodological contribution; acceptance for publication of sections in major journals; patents; prizes for completed work; marketable software; original equipment; new therapies; major exhibitions or performances arising from the practical part of a practice-based degree, the potential for significant impact on the research field, policy or professional practice.
- 5.7 Examiners are invited to comment in the Examiners' Report Form on any research excellence which is identified in the submission and provide further information in support of this recommendation. If the recommendation is approved by the Examinations Group the text provided by the examiners in this section will be included in a letter of congratulations sent to the PGR from the Dean of the Leeds Doctoral College.

## General feedback on the examination process

- 5.8 The University welcomes feedback from examiners on the examination process at the University of Leeds. If examiners wish to submit separate comments or provide any general comment or feedback regarding the examination process which they wish to bring to the attention of the Graduate Board they are invited to do so by emailing [rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk). Any feedback provided will be considered by the Graduate Board's Examinations Group as part of its regular reviews of policy and procedures.

## Graduate Board's Examinations Group

- 5.9 The Graduate Board has delegated authority to its Examinations Group to award research degrees subject to it being satisfied that the criteria for the award of the degree have been met. The examiners' report and recommendation must be approved by the Examinations Group. The Group meets 8-9 times each session. Each Faculty is represented on this Group. To ensure that the PGR receives their notes for guidance as soon as possible, recommendations for referral are considered on a fortnightly basis by at least 3 members of the group and do not have to wait for the full meeting of the group.
- 5.10 Members consider the content of each examiners' report form to establish that the criteria for the award has been met and that the recommendation sent forward covers the basic points required by the University. It is important that

examiners answer each section of the report forms clearly. The Group will ask for further information if it is not satisfied that the recommendation has been clearly and sufficiently justified or if specific examples are lacking. The report and recommendation of the examiners cannot be approved until the Group is satisfied that the additional information supplied addresses the concerns raised with the report.

## Release of the Examiners' Report to the PGR

- 5.11 The Examinations Group consider the joint examiners' report an important source of feedback to PGRs on the assessment of their work. For this reason, the joint examiners' report will be released to the PGR and their Supervisor by DCO after approval by the Group. Please bear this in mind and ensure your report only relates to the criteria for the award and that any criticism is fair and measured.
- 5.12 Examiners should note that the University does not impose any restrictions on PGRs as to who can see the report and they may subsequently share the report with anyone, including sponsors, publishers or other interested parties. The independent, preliminary reports (completed before the viva) are not automatically released to the PGR but will be if requested. In the event of an adverse academic decision (referral, MPhil award on PhD or fail) PGRs will be advised that the preliminary reports are available on request.

## 6.0 Report and Notes for Guidance in the event of a referral

### Timescale for the report and notes for guidance

- 6.1 In the event of a referral, the examiners must also prepare notes for guidance, which must be typed, headed 'notes for guidance' and submitted with the examiners' report (within 10 working days of the viva) for consideration by the Examinations Group. It is useful to negotiate a timetable for the production of the notes for guidance. Where it is not possible to meet this timescale please contact the DoPGR who will liaise with the RKEO and subsequently with the Doctoral College Operations for further advice ([rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)).

### Examiners report and reasons for referral

- 6.2 The early section of the report (questions 2-6) should be consistent with the recommendation not to award the degree at this stage, and should provide a clear indication of which of the criteria for award have not yet been fully met. The time the examiners feel the PGR will need for corrections should have no bearing on the academic decision reached on the submitted work and must not be quantified within the report or notes for guidance (please see Section 3). The PGR may resubmit at any point in the referral period once the work has been completed and the thesis is ready.
- 6.3 The examiners' report must give a clear summary of the reasons for referral detailing why the submitted thesis does not, at this stage, satisfy the requirements for award, and what evidence there is that the thesis is

potentially of a standard to merit award (following a period of further work and resubmission) and the individual PGR is capable of making the changes required. It should be clear that a thesis has been referred on the basis that the submitted thesis fell short of the standard required for the award of the degree and that the nature and seriousness of the changes required fall outside of what could be reasonably described under the heading of minor deficiencies.

## Format and content of the Notes for Guidance

- 6.4 The notes for guidance must clearly indicate the necessary and sufficient conditions which, if complied with by the PGR and provided that the thesis as a whole is satisfactory, will lead to a recommendation by the examiners that the degree be awarded. A PGR who carries out the instructions in the notes for guidance to the required standard should normally be considered to have met the requirements for the award. At a re-examination, the examiners must not introduce new criteria to be met, although they may offer advice on further work that might be required for publication and/or future development of the work. For this reason, it is essential that the notes are sufficiently detailed to give the PGR suitable guidance to achieve the required standard. However, the detail in the notes should not stifle the initiative of the PGR.
- 6.5 At this stage, examiners cannot include an indication that a second oral examination will not be required. The final decision cannot be made until after considering the resubmitted thesis. If the resubmitted thesis does not meet the requirements for the award of the degree for which the PGR submitted, University regulations require that a second oral examination must be held. Please see section 8 for advice. Examiners are asked to bear in mind the following when preparing the notes for guidance:
- The Notes for Guidance must clearly set out what needs to be done in order for the thesis to reach the standards required for the award of the degree. The Notes must specify the sections or aspects of the thesis which are in need of improvement and provide sufficiently detailed information about what work is required to enable the PGR to revise the thesis for resubmission to meet the standard required for award.
  - There is no set format for the notes. These can be arranged on a page by page, chapter by chapter or areas of deficiency basis, whichever is appropriate for the nature of the problems to be solved.
  - The notes must be clear and unambiguous. Avoid the use of phrases such as “would benefit from” “might like to consider” etc. which suggest an element of option to the changes, and instead use more direct language (“the revised thesis *must*”).
  - These are joint notes for guidance and must indicate it is the view of all the examiners (“I” must not be used – replace with “we”). A separate list of corrections from each examiner is unacceptable as it introduces the possibility of inconsistencies, contradictions and duplications. Instead the corrections should comprise one single set of clear corrections and guidance.
  - Please ensure that the notes for guidance are free from typographical

errors. The use of emotive language or personal comments is unacceptable.

- The Examiners are not expected to proofread the thesis and there is no requirement for the notes to include details of all typographical errors requiring correction. It is sufficient to state that the thesis must be thoroughly proofread before resubmission. Should the examiners choose to provide specific editorial comment these could be included in the body of the notes or marked in a copy of the thesis given to the candidate (with a reference to this in the notes).
- Alongside their resubmitted thesis, PGRs are required to provide a summary of how their revised thesis has responded to changes listed by examiners in the Notes for Guidance. Sufficient detail, with examples, should be included to clearly show how and where they have responded to the examiners' concerns. This will assist the Examiners when considering the resubmitted thesis. PGRs are advised that there is no set format for this document and they may present this in a format which best reflects the changes they have made. If the examiners require a specific format for the response they must include this detail in the notes for guidance.

## Release of the Notes for Guidance to the PGR

- 6.6 The notes for guidance must not be issued by the DoPGR\Research Office\School\Examiners to the PGR. The notes for guidance will be issued by Doctoral College Operations after the Graduate Board's Examinations Group has scrutinised them together with the examiners' report. The approved examiners' report and notes will be issued as quickly as possible by DCO after approval by the Group. The PGR will be advised that the preliminary reports are available on request
- 6.7 DoPGR\Research Office\Schools\Examiners are not permitted to issue informal notes for guidance to the PGR. If any oral advice is given before the Group has approved the official guidance, it must be stressed to the PGR that the advice given is informal.

## Role of the examiners during the referral period

- 6.8 If the Examiners' recommendation is that the thesis be referred, it is the practice for the original examining team to act again for the resubmission, except in exceptional circumstances.
- 6.9 The roles of examiner and supervisor must be transparently separated during the period between the first examination and the resubmission and the examiners must maintain independence from the work before it is resubmitted. For this reason, the Examiners must not take a supervisory role during the referral period (supervisors are asked to provide up to 6 supervision meetings a year during the referral period) . The internal examiner may, however, be required to provide clarification of the notes for guidance. PGRs are advised that they should consult with their supervisor in the first instance where clarification is required. Supervisors are asked to contact internal examiners on behalf of PGRs when further clarification is required.

## 7.0 After successful examination (4\12 week correction)

### Arrangements in response to the Covid-19 pandemic

If the Covid-19 situation means that a PGR is not going to be able to meet the 4/12 week deadline for completing any minor corrections they can apply for an extension, and the University will consider requests sympathetically.

The University has permanently withdrawn the requirement for PGRs to submit a hard-bound copy of the corrected thesis for the award of their degree. PGRs only need to upload a PDF copy of their thesis to White Rose Etheses Online. This can be carried out remotely.

### Role of the internal examiner during the correction period

- 7.1 After successful examination PGRs must carry out any editorial and presentational corrections or correction of minor deficiencies to the satisfaction of the internal examiner within the prescribed time period. Individual pages of the thesis must be fully corrected and it is not possible simply to provide an errata page. PGRs are advised to consult with their supervisor(s) throughout this process.
- 7.2 **The internal examiner does not take a supervisory role during the correction period.** They may, however, be required to provide clarification of the corrections required. PGRs are advised to consult with their supervisor in the first instance where clarification is required. Supervisors may contact internal examiners on behalf of PGRs when further clarification would be helpful.

### Checking editorial and presentational corrections or minor deficiencies

- 7.3 The PGR normally has 4 or 12 weeks from the oral examination to complete and return the corrections to the internal examiner for checking. The external examiner may request to be consulted on the corrections carried out by the PGR if they wish, and should be informed when these are completed. Please make every effort to check the corrections in a reasonable timescale and provide timely feedback to the PGR. This is particularly important around graduation deadlines.
- 7.4 To assist in the process of checking and approving the corrections the PGR is expected to provide information outlining what corrections they have made. This could be in the form of tracked changes or supplied as a separate list (to be agreed between the internal examiner and the PGR).

## Confirming approval of editorial & presentational corrections or minor deficiencies

The internal examiner must confirm to the Research Office [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk) that the PGR has completed the corrections to their satisfaction. The Research Office will then liaise with the UoL [rp-examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp-examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk) Once the corrections have been checked and approved the internal examiner must:

- i. Inform the Research office via email [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk) that you are satisfied with the corrections carried out, the Research office will liaise with the DCO via [rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk).
- ii. **The research office will inform the PGR** that you are satisfied with the corrections carried out and that they can now go ahead and submit the final eThesis. The Research Office will copy the DCO in on the email for information

## Submission of the Final thesis

- 7.6 Once the internal examiner has confirmed they are happy with the corrections the PGR can arrange for submission of the final eThesis within a period of 1 month.

## Extensions to the normal 4/12 week correction period

- 7.7 For PGRs who have been recommended for award, it is important that clear deadlines are in place for the correction of the thesis. Therefore the PGR normally has 4 weeks (editorial & presentational corrections) or 12 weeks (minor deficiencies) from the date of the viva to complete and return the corrections to the internal examiner for checking.
- 7.8 The University does not prescribe whether a PGR is expected to work full-time on their corrections, or a number of hours per day, as it is acknowledged that at this point in their candidature many PGRs will already have taken up other responsibilities and commitments. In keeping with this, the Examinations Group will normally approve a period of extension if PGRs experience difficulties during the correction period and need extra time because of, for example, illness, work or family commitments.
- 7.9 A case for an extension to the correction period must be made via the Director of PGR Studies to Doctoral College Operations. For PGRs recommended for the award of the degree and completing either editorial and presentational corrections or minor deficiencies, please bear in mind that, given the correction periods themselves are only 4 and 12 weeks, requests for long extensions (more than 4 or 12 weeks respectively) are unlikely to be approved unless the circumstances are exceptional.

## Failure to complete corrections to the satisfaction of the internal examiner

- 7.10 Recommendations for award subject to editorial and presentational corrections or minor deficiencies cannot be confirmed unless the internal

examiner is satisfied that the required corrections have been carried out to a satisfactory standard such that all the criteria for award have been met. Normally only one attempt at the corrections is permitted and it is expected that the corrections will be carried out to a satisfactory standard on the first attempt.

- 7.11 However if after checking the corrections, the internal examiner is of the view that not all of the required corrections have been carried out to a satisfactory standard, exceptional permission may be sought from the Examinations Group to allow the PGR one further period of time in which to address any outstanding corrections so that the conditions for award can be fully met. A case must be made by the Director of PGR Studies for consideration by the Examinations Group.
- 7.12 Normally only one additional correction period and attempt at the corrections will be permitted. The period allowed will not normally exceed one-month. If after this period the internal examiner is still not satisfied with the corrections carried out - and the DoPGR is satisfied that appropriate accommodation has been made for any extenuating circumstances to give the PGR adequate time to carry out the required corrections (see above) - the PGR will be deemed not to have complied with the requirements for award. The candidature will come to an end with no research degree awarded. Cases will be referred to the Examinations Group (or the Chair acting on its behalf).

## 8.0 Examination of a resubmission following referral

### Resubmission following Referral

- 8.1 PGRs are normally required to resubmit within the following maximum period from the date the notes for guidance are issued and to pay the re-examination fee.

Doctoral submissions	Within 18 months
MPhil submission	Within 15 months
PhD submission referred for resubmission for MPhil	Within 12 months
Mastership by Research submission	Within 9 months

- 8.2 Examiners may wish to bear in mind that the referral periods give the maximum time limit for a referred thesis to be resubmitted and that a PGR may resubmit at any point in this period once the work has been completed and the thesis is ready. PGRs are solely responsible for the decision to submit their work for examination.
- 8.3 If circumstances arise which prevent the PGR from resubmitting their thesis within the normal period, a case for an extension to the referral period can be submitted by the Director of PGR Studies to Doctoral College Operations.
- 8.4 A PGR whose submission has been referred for resubmission for PhD may, with the approval of the Director of Postgraduate Research and the Graduate Board and on payment of the entry fee, elect to resubmit the thesis (in a suitably revised form) for examination for the degree of MPhil, under the

normal examination requirements for that degree. Resubmission must take place within 12 months from the date the notes for guidance are issued.

## Examiners' individual report for a resubmission

- 8.5 Each examiner must read the resubmitted thesis independently of the other examiner(s) and complete [an examiner's individual report](#) before discussing the resubmission with the other examiners.

## Timescale for the examination of a resubmitted thesis

- 8.6 Every effort should be made to complete the re-examination within 6 weeks of receiving the resubmitted thesis but examiners are asked to accept a maximum time scale of 3 months in which to complete the examination (including a second oral examination if required).

## Examination of a resubmitted thesis

- 8.7 The original examiners' report and notes for guidance (following the first viva) will be provided to the examiners with the resubmitted thesis. Alongside their resubmitted thesis, PGRs are required to provide a summary of how their revised thesis has responded to changes listed by examiners in the Notes for Guidance. This will assist the Examiners when considering the resubmitted thesis.
- 8.8 Examiners are reminded that the notes for guidance must "clearly indicate the necessary and sufficient conditions which, if complied with by the candidate, and provided that the thesis as a whole is satisfactory, will lead to a recommendation for the award of the degree" (see section 6).
- 8.9 The University takes this as meaning that if the PGR follows the advice and guidance given by the examiners in its entirety in revising the thesis, and provided that the thesis as a whole is satisfactory, the degree must be awarded (albeit possibly with corrections). If, on resubmission, the alterations and improvements required in the notes for guidance have been carried out to the satisfaction of the examiners, and the thesis as a whole remains satisfactory, a recommendation should be forwarded for the award of the degree. At re-examination, the examiners must not introduce new criteria to be met, although they may offer advice on further work that might be required for publication and/or future development of the work.
- 8.10 It is possible that some PGRs may not (for various reasons and sometimes for defensible academic reasons) follow all the advice and guidance given in the notes for guidance. In these cases the examiners should consider the thesis as a whole and reach a decision whether or not the thesis now meets the appropriate minimum standard for the award of the degree, or whether one of the other options set out is appropriate.

## Recommendations following resubmission

- 8.11 PGRs are not permitted to present a thesis for re-examination on more than one occasion. A second referral is not possible and on resubmission a PGR will either:

(i) Be recommended for the award of the degree (subject in some cases to editorial and presentational corrections or the correction of minor deficiencies);

(ii) Fail.

8.12 In the case of a resubmission for the degree of PhD only, the PGR may also be recommended for the award of the degree of MPhil (subject in some cases to editorial and presentational corrections or the correction of minor deficiencies).

## Second oral examination following resubmission

8.13 All examiners consider the re-submission and a second oral examination may be held if the examiners deem it appropriate. If the Examiners are in agreement that the thesis now meets the requirement for the award of the degree for which the PGR submitted it may not be necessary for a second oral examination to be held. This is a decision that examiners are asked to take after reading the resubmitted thesis and completing their preliminary report.

8.14 However, the examiners may not recommend that a thesis be failed, or the award of a lower degree, without a second oral examination. Therefore if, after reading the resubmitted thesis, the examiners reach a preliminary view that the PGR has not fulfilled the requirements for the award of the degree for which they submitted it is essential that a second oral examination be held to ensure that the PGR is given every opportunity to defend the work. This applies in the event of the examiners considering either a recommendation not to award a research degree (fail) or a recommendation to award the degree of MPhil on a PhD resubmission.

8.15 After completing the individual report, the internal examiner should contact the external examiner to discuss the examination and agree whether or not a second oral examination is necessary.

## If a second oral examination is required

Arrangements have been made to enable PGRs to complete their viva by video streaming, where appropriate. Please refer to [section 2](#) of this document for further advice on the arrangements for the viva.

8.16 An independent chair must be appointed in cases where a second oral examination is required on a resubmitted thesis. If a second oral examination is required the internal examiner is asked to contact the DoPGR immediately, the DoPGR will liaise, through the RKEO, with the Doctoral College Operations ([rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)). The DoPGR will arrange with the for the appointment of an independent chair.

8.17 The internal examiner is then asked to make the arrangements for the oral examination with the external examiner, the independent chair (once appointed) and the PGR. The Research Office [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk) will liaise with the UoL Doctoral College Operations ([rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)), the Research Office, DoPGR,

supervisors and should also be informed of the examination date once agreed.

- 8.18 The examiners must not discuss their provisional findings with the PGR or their Supervisor at any point before the second oral examination. Examiners must not give an indication of the likely recommendation until the oral examination has been completed.
- 8.19 If a second oral examination is required the process for informing the PGR follows that for the first examination. This should normally take place after the viva but, in any event, must take place within 24 hours of the oral examination. Please see section 4. After the oral examination, the examiners must then complete a final, joint examiners' report (see below).

## If a second oral examination is not required

- 8.20 If a second oral examination is not required, the internal examiner is asked to take steps to inform the PGR, supervisor(s) and Research Office of the recommendation the examiners are sending forward. Please ensure the PGR receives notification of the outcome and details of any minor corrections as soon as possible.
- 8.21 The examiners must then complete a final, joint examiners' report (see below). Please record the date the PGR was informed of the recommendation (and any corrections) in the box provided on the front of the examiners' report form. Doctoral College Operations will use this date to calculate the 4/12 week deadline for any corrections.

## Completing the final, joint examiners' report for a resubmission

- 8.22 The internal examiner is responsible for ensuring that the final, joint examiners' report is fully and correctly completed and signed. Normally, original, ink signatures are needed but scanned /electronic signatures are accepted for video streamed vivas. Where a scanned or electronic signature aren't available, email confirmation (from an Institutional email address) can be accepted.
- 8.23 All sections of the report must be typed using the [template provided](#). **Please ensure that you use the template specifically for a resubmission** and that you answer all questions fully and include in your responses specific examples from the thesis.
- 8.24 The joint examiners' report form for a resubmission must "stand alone" from that completed after the first examination and examiners are asked to complete all sections in full, with reference to the resubmitted thesis. The use of the phrase "as first report" is unacceptable.
- 8.25 The internal examiner should pass the completed forms to the Research office [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk), who will arrange for the joint, examiners' report to be countersigned by the Director of PGR Studies (or approved nominee). This will then be returned by the Research office to Doctoral College Operations, together with the copies of the completed

preliminary reports. The report is then considered by the Graduate Board's Examinations Group (as for the first examination).

## 9.0 Good Practice Guidelines for the conduct of the viva

Examiners may find the following good practice helpful in conducting the examination :

### **BEFORE the viva**

- Before the oral examination the internal examiner is asked to establish whether a supervisor will be present and that this is in accordance with the wishes of the PGR. In cases where a PGR has more than one supervisor, only one supervisor is permitted to attend the oral examination.
- If not present as an observer, the supervisor (or appropriate individual e.g. Director of PGR Studies or Advisor) is required to be available for contact if necessary (by telephone or video call).
- Consider the suitability of the room (or individual locations for a video-streamed viva) for the purpose of the viva to ensure as few distractions as possible. This should include environmental conditions (such as lighting and temperature) and noise (e.g. away from building work). For a video-streamed viva, consideration should be given to the environment in which the parties are located, that this is fit for the purposes of an oral examination, and that it is in all other ways comparable to those used for face-to-face examination. Appropriate consideration must also be given to time zones.
- Ensure that arrangements are in place to facilitate any learning support plans to the oral examination, which will have been agreed upon in advance.
- A thorough testing of the connection must be conducted before the oral examination. This should include both a 'trial run' a few days before the oral examination and immediate, prior testing of the connection on the day before the candidate arrives. Please consult the policy for details of the approved platforms.

### **DURING the viva**

- Invite the PGR into the room (or Teams call). Allow a period of time at the start of the viva for all parties to become familiar with the environment and ensure they are comfortable with the technology and set-up before commencing the viva. For a video viva, the PGR should be asked to show the room at the start of the examination, and have the door in view behind the PGR, if possible.
- Introduce those present and clarify roles. If either the supervisor or an independent chair is in attendance, clearly explain their role:
- The supervisor is in attendance as an observer only and must remain silent during the examination. The internal examiner is responsible for ensuring that the supervisor remains silent and that their presence at the oral examination is unobstructive. The examiners may ask the

supervisor to leave if they feel that their presence may jeopardise the smooth running of the oral examination. The supervisor may not participate in the examination and takes no part in the academic judgement or the decision making process. The Supervisor must leave the room (or video call) after the oral examination (with the PGR) whilst the examiners discuss their recommendation.

- The role of the independent chair is to ensure that the examination is conducted fairly and professionally, and in accordance with University regulations. The independent chair does not play a role in academic examination or question the PGR on the thesis. The Chair will, however, remain in the room (or Teams call) after the oral examination whilst the examiners discuss their recommendation.
- Aim to put the PGR at ease as far as possible.
- Explain the purpose of the oral examination and go through the format for the examination and how things will work. The examiners share responsibility for questioning the PGR during the oral examination.
- Explain the possible recommendations the examiners can make.
- Explain to the PGR that after the oral examination they will be asked to withdraw from the room (or Teams call) (along with the supervisor, if present as an observer) whilst the examiners confer on their decision before asking them to return.
- Ask the PGR if they have any questions and advise them to seek clarification should any part of the process or the questioning be unclear (or if they find any issues with sound quality in the case of a Teams call).
- The PGR should also be told that they may request a break(s) during the examination and that they may consult their copy of the thesis if it helps them.
- Allow the PGR time to collect their thoughts and develop responses to the questions posed by the examiners. Allow the PGR to consult their copy of the thesis (which may be annotated with notes/post-it notes) during the examination if it helps them.
- Wherever possible, examiners should pose succinct and focussed questions and avoid asking multi-part questions which are more difficult for the PGR to remember. Instead, where possible, ask one question at a time and use follow-up questions as necessary. Examiners should actively listen to the PGR's answers. If the PGR gives a poor answer, try to rephrase the question in a different way and give them another opportunity to produce a better response.
- Although the oral examination will normally run continuously and be completed within a day, respect any request a PGR may make for a short break. The Examiners or the independent chair (if present) may also wish to suggest a break at appropriate points in the examination.
- In the event of a PGR becoming distressed, examiners are advised to offer the PGR a break before continuing. If a PGR is unable to continue, examiners must contact DoPGRs.
- At the close of the examination ask the PGR if they feel the examination has covered all points they were expecting and give them the opportunity to raise any points in relation to the thesis which they

feel were not covered. (For a video viva, check explicitly with the PGR at the end of the viva whether they were happy with how it was conducted from a practical point of view and if there is anything they would like noted).

- On completing the oral examination, instruct the PGR (and supervisor if attending) to leave the room (or video call) in order for the examiners to discuss the final recommendation on the basis of the thesis and the viva. Only the examiners (and independent chair if appointed, and if attending all parts of the viva) must be present for this part of the process.

#### **AFTER the viva**

- Invite the PGR (and supervisor if attending) back into the room (or video call) and inform them of the recommendation. A single recommendation must be made based on the assessment of the written thesis combined with the PGR's performance in the viva. Inform the PGR & supervisor that the outcome is subject to confirmation by the Graduate Board.
- The process of notifying the PGR and supervisor of the outcome should normally take place soon after the viva. If you are unable to reach a decision at the viva you must do this within 24 hours of the viva and inform the PGR and supervisor. Outline the next stages in the process to the PGR, depending on the outcome of the oral examination, including communication of any corrections to the thesis

In the event that a PGR becomes extremely distressed on receiving an adverse academic decision, the examiners are advised to contact the supervisor to provide support to the PGR. If for any reason the supervisor is not available (or appropriate), the Director of PGR Studies should be contacted. [LTSU](#) and [Student Support & Wellbeing](#)

- In the event that the examiners become concerned about a PGR's wellbeing or behaviour on receiving an adverse academic decision they should inform the DoPGR or the Research Office who will help refer the PGR to more specialist support services.
- In some circumstances PGRs may appeal against an [adverse academic decision](#). Details of the procedure governing the consideration of postgraduate researcher appeals can be found [here](#). PGRs seeking advice and support in the preparation of an appeal may contact the Student Advice Centre of the Leeds Trinity University Student Union where experienced staff are available to provide guidance: [student.union@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:student.union@leedstrinity.ac.uk).

The DCO progress and examinations team would welcome all feedback on the video-streamed viva arrangements, by email to [rp\\_exmainations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_exmainations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)

## 10.0 Thesis length

10.1 PGRs have been given the following advice by the Graduate Board:

During the examination of your thesis your examiners will be considering both the quality and value of your work and the way in which you have chosen to present your review, results, arguments and conclusions. Your ability to express your findings in a clear and concise manner will be under examination and excessive length or too discursive a style will be judged a weakness. Your supervisor is, of course, best placed to advise you on the desirable length and form of your submission within the University's limits. The University expects that theses will normally not exceed the following maximum lengths.

The page limits given here are an approximation based on you having presented your thesis (text size, spacing) in accordance with these regulations. If your thesis is longer than the page limit but still within the word limit the Supervisor(s) should send via the Research Office [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk) confirmation (including the word count). The Research Office will inform the DCO.

MA, MSc, MSc(Eng) and MEd	30,000 words (100 pages)
MPhil	60,000 words (200 pages)
PhD (including Integrated PhD & Master)	100,000 words (300 pages)
EdD	55,000 words (185 pages)
DPaedDent	50,000 words (170 pages)
DHSC	50,000 words (170 pages)
MD	80,000 words (250 pages)
DClinPsychol	40,000 words (135 pages)

Practice-Led PhD	A minimum word limit of 15,000 and a maximum word limit of 50,000
Practice-Led MPhil	A minimum work limit of 10,000 and a maximum work limit of 30,000

**Please note that the above limits include all appendices & footnotes but not bibliographies/reference lists.** It should be noted that the maximum limits are not intended to be interpreted as a requirement for the length of the thesis and that in certain disciplines the thesis may be considerably shorter - you should seek further advice from your Supervisors or Director of PGR Studies.

10.2 In the event that Graduate Board approved the submission of an over length thesis, a copy of the case will be included in the paperwork provided to the examiners. In all cases it remains open to the examiners to reach an academic judgement on whether the additional length is justified or should be reduced as part of any corrections to the thesis.

## 11.0 Guidance on publications

### Distinction between the publication requirements at Doctoral and Masters level

For the benefit of examiners the following guidance is given:

Level	Publication requirement
Doctoral	<p>Publication requirement: <i>“Matter suitable for publication”</i></p> <p>At doctoral level, the expectation is that the thesis will contain original work which is of publishable quality in appropriate, peer-reviewed journals (or publication in other form as appropriate for the field of research e.g. monograph). When commenting on the extent to which the thesis contains matter suitable for publication, Examiners are required provide an academic judgement on the extent to which the thesis contains matter suitable for publication in appropriate peer-reviewed journals or in other form as appropriate to the field of research. The response must identify aspects of the thesis that are of publishable quality, independently of whether or not publication has already taken place. (In the case of practice-led research only, the examiners should provide an academic judgement on the extent to which the bodyof creative practice produced by the PGR in pursuit of the degree is worthy of publicpresentation).</p> <p>When commenting on the extent to which the thesis contains matter suitable for publication, Examiners are invited to comment on work which has already been published and/or may comment on parts of the thesis which could form the basis of an appropriate publication following some reworking.</p>

<p>MPhil and Masters by Research</p>	<p>Publication requirement: <i>“Material at a level suitable for publication”</i> It is acknowledged that the breadth and depth of critical analysis shown at MPhil &amp; Masters by Research level might be more limited than that at doctoral level on account of the much narrower focus of the research, less extensive range of sources and shorter thesis. However it is expected that the quality of the research demonstrated would still be at a level suitable for publication (in reputable journals/publications as appropriate to the field of research). It is expected that the work could contribute an element towards a broader published work even if there might not be the volume of work needed for publication by itself. When commenting on the extent to which the thesis contains material at a level suitable for publication, Examiners are required to give an academic judgement on work already published and/or the potential to contribute an element towards a broader published work even if it is unlikely to be published by itself.</p>
--	---

## Use of jointly or solely authored publications in the thesis

- 11.2 Guidance is given to PGRs on the use of their published work within a thesis, including identifying the contribution of others to the work. This is especially important when chapters might have been based on jointly authored publications as the examiners will need to be able to clearly identify the work directly attributable to the PGR to determine whether there is a substantially original contribution by the PGR and whether this contribution is at the appropriate level to merit the award of the degree. PGRs are required to indicate their contribution to the work and that of the other authors at the point of examination entry, and include this information clearly on the title pages of the thesis. To assist the Examiners, the statement at examination entry is included with the thesis, along with copies of any publications. Examiners can view the [full guidance](#) given to PGRs (see Section 15).

## 12.0 Student Support and Wellbeing

### Arrangements in response to the Covid-19 pandemic

PGRs are advised to contact Dyslexia and Disability Services at the earliest opportunity to discuss the reasonable adjustments and/or additional support that may be needed for an online final viva. Previously agreed adjustments around the final viva must be honoured, but bespoke arrangements may be required in some cases, due to the online format of the final viva.

## Supporting Disabled Postgraduate Researchers – advice to examiners

Disabled PGRs, and their supervisors and examiners, can access a wide range of support from [Student support and Wellbeing - Leeds Trinity University](#). The process for identifying and agreeing support and reasonable adjustments for the final oral examination is set out in a Learning Support Plan. This document, which is intended to provide a single point of reference for PGRs, Supervisors and DoPGR Summarises the routine adjustments with clear precedents which can be accommodated without prior approval by the Examinations Group.

Student mental health and wellbeing services can be accessed to support PGRs throughout their journey at LTU including preparatory steps for the viva and following the viva ([link](#))

- Provides examples of adjustments which may be facilitated with prior approval by Examinations Group, with a framework and timescale for consideration of these
- Summarises the support available to all PGRs in preparation for assessment.
- Summarises the support that can be considered for a video-streamed viva.
- It is important that the need for reasonable adjustments is identified well in advance of the final examination to allow due consideration to be given prior to examination. Supervisors are asked to work in partnership with PGRs, and Dyslexia and Disability Support where required, to identify when reasonable adjustments may be required for oral examination; and what those reasonable adjustments might be. A framework and timetable is included in the separate document.
- The internal examiner is responsible for communicating any agreed adjustments and support arrangements to the PGR, the Supervisor and the external examiners. The Internal Examiner has responsibility for facilitating on the day any reasonable adjustments to the oral examination, which will have been agreed upon in advance. Guidance on these arrangements can also be sought from the Thesis Examination Section of Doctoral College Operations ([rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk); 34003).

## Accessibility and Support for Examiners

12.4 If an internal examiner would like to discuss and arrange any adjustments for the examination process, please contact the Director of Postgraduate

Research. If an external examiner wishes to discuss and arrange any adjustments to the examination process this can be done either through the internal examiner\DoPGR or by contacting the Thesis Examination Team in Doctoral College Operations who will be very happy to help (rp\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk).

## 13.0 Mitigating Circumstances for PGRs

- 13.1 As set out in the [Policy on suspensions and extensions](#), for PGRs the Graduate Board accommodates 'mitigating circumstances' by considering a period of suspension or extension of study which will lengthen the overall candidature and delay the deadline for submission of the thesis for examination.
- 13.2 In order to be eligible for the award of the degree, all PGRs must complete an oral examination and the thesis submitted for examination must meet the stated criteria for award of the degree and the specified learning outcomes must be met. Any mitigating circumstances which may have affected the PGR during their period of study should not be taken into account as part of the assessment of the thesis and should never lead the examiners to award a research degree where the work is not felt to be of the appropriate standard. However, such factors might be taken into account by the University when determining whether any reasonable adjustments to the examination process should be considered, for example in the case of a disability, or whether any support is needed in order to complete any amendments to the thesis after the viva.

## 14.0 Ethical review of the Research

- 14.1 All PGRs are required to confirm that they are aware of, and comply with, the University's procedures for the review of ethical issues arising from research Involving animals; human participants, their data or their tissue; or the potential for significant environmental impact. PGRs' awareness of the ethical implications of their research, and that ethical approval has been sought and received where necessary, is reviewed at various stages in the candidature including the training needs analysis, the transfer stage and at examination entry. At the point of entry for examination, the Graduate Board requires PGRs (along with their Supervisor & Director of PGR Studies) to confirm that all ethical review requirements have been satisfactorily addressed.
- 14.2 The University Research Ethics Committee recognises that in some cases it may be beneficial for examiners to have access to the detailed ethics

information so they can see how PGRs have dealt with the ethical issues of their research.

- 14.3 The documents which make up the ethics application typically include the application form, recruitment material, participant information sheet and consent form. These can be combined into one PDF and made available to examiners on request. Please inform DCO [rp\\_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk](mailto:rp_examinations@adm.leeds.ac.uk)) in the first instance who will contact the Research Office [research@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:research@leedstrinity.ac.uk). Further information about the University's research ethics policy and requirements for researchers is [available](#).